



DOG'S MEAT & MUFTI TAQI'S MONUMENTAL GAFFE

**MUJLISUL ULAMA OF S.A.
PO BOX 3393
PORT ELIZABETH, 6056
SOUTH AFRICA**

DOG'S MEAT IN THE MAALIKI MATH-HAB

QUESTION

Molvi Haider Al Dhorat commenting on the lecture by Mufti Taqi during his recent visit to South Africa, said:

“And then he (i.e. Mufti Taqi) also made mention that according to Imam Malik (Rahmatullah 'Alayh), it is permissible to eat dog meat. It is permissible to eat dog meat. But according to Imam Abu Hanifa (Rahmatullah 'Alayh), it is not permissible. So Mufti Taqi Usmani Sahib (Damat Barakatuh) says in today's time if any person says it's permissible to eat dog meat, what will happen to him? You can well imagine. But this was the level of respect the great, great Imams had for one another, that even if they differed with one

another, but they showed full respect, SubhanAllah! They showed full adab and respect. They did not... the breakdown of respect..." *(End of quote)*

Is this correct? Is it permissible according to the Maaliki Math-hab to consume dog meat?

ANSWER

The radio-television molvi is a jaahil of the first degree, hence he deemed it appropriate to peddle Mufti Taqi's monumental gaffe. If this jaahil chap had any *Aql* he would first have ascertained the veracity of the claim of halaal dog meat made by Mufti Taqi.

Mufti Taqi has a penchant for halaalizing haraam by means of weird baatil interpretation. Thus, he has made halaal bank riba, conversing freely with kuffaar females in the public,

halaalizing haraam pictography, and participation in haraam anniversary functions where a host of haraam acts occurs. Diluting the Ahkaam of the Shariah to make these palatable and acceptable for the kuffaar, fussaag and fujjaar has become his speciality.

In view of Mufti Taqi's drift from the Sunnah which was initiated by his haraam dalliance with the kuffaar capitalist banks, it is not at all surprising that he has now claimed that dog meat is halaal. Then he attributes the halaalizing of dog's meat to Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh).

Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh) and the Maaliki Fuqaha did not proclaim dog meat halaal. The Maaliki authority, Al-Hattaab states:

“According to Al-Hattaab, regarding the dog there are two views: Hurmat (being haraam) and Karaahat (i.e. Makrooh Tahrimi which is also forbidden). Ibn Abdil Barr has

authenticated the view of Tahreem (i.e. it is Haraam). Al-Hattaab said: 'I have not seen in the (Maaliki) Math-hab anyone who narrated the Ibaahat (permissibility) of eating dog.'
(Haashiyah Ad-Dasooqi)

"The Mu'tamad (reliable ruling) is that dog is Makrooh, and it has been said that it is Haraam. There is no view of it being permissible."
(Ash-Sharul Kabeer lid Durdeer)

"Ibn Habeeb said: 'The People of Madinah did not differ regarding the Tahreem (being haraam) of the meat of wild animals, lions. Wolves, hyenas and dogs.'"
(Al-Wdheehah)

"Regarding domesticated dogs, there are two views: Makrooh and Haraam. An opinion of it being permissible has not been seen. The one who attributes it

***(i.e. its permissibility) to Maalik should
be punished.”
(Manhul Jaleel)***

***“Dogs are fanged predators.
According to Maalik it is Haraam...
Ibn Abdul Barr has authenticated that
it is Haraam. In Al-Jallaab he said:
“Dogs should not be eaten.’ Al-Hattaab
said:***

***‘I have not seen in the Math-hab (of
Maalik) who have narrated
permissibility of eating dog. It is not
permissible for a Mufti to rule that
eating dog is permissible.”
(As-Halul Madaarik)***

***“Regarding the dog, the difference is in
Karaahat and Tahreem. Verily Ibn
Abdul Barr has authenticated the qawl
of Tahreem.***

***Shaikh Daawood said: ‘Whoever
attributes the***

***permissibility (of eating) dogs to
Maalik, should be punished.***

Thus, both Mufti Taqi and the jaahil molvi fellow should be severely punished for attributing the slander to Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh).

The *Ta'deeb (Punishment)* for such slanderous attribution , especially to such a great, illustrious personality as Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh), according to the Maaliki Math-hab, is quite severe. It varies between lashes and imprisonment.

“Al-Mawaaq narrating from bin Arfah said that *Ta'deeb* is (first/initially) by means of grave warning. If it is not beneficial, then lashing with a whip from one to three painful lashes. (*Mawaahibul Jaleel*)

“At-Ta'deeb is by means of imprisonment and severe whipping.”
(*Sharhul Kharshi*)

“Imaam Maalik has mentioned that Ta'deeb is left to the discretion of the Qaadhi. He has also explicitly said that the perpetrator should be whipped, but he has not stipulated the number of lashes.”

(Ibnul Maajishoon)

It is also mentioned in *At-Taudheeh* that when whipping, the back should be bared.

Now, there is much food for the thought of Taqi Sahib and the jaahil molvi who peddled the mullock of Taqi Sahib.

Understand well that the designation of ‘Makrooh’ in the Maaliki context as well as in the Hanafi Math-hab, means practically **Haraam**. Just as it is obligatory to abstain from things technically designated Haraam, so too is it compulsory to abstain from things classified Makrooh. Here it means Makrooh Tahrimi. The technical classification of Makrooh does not

detract from the prohibition for practical purposes.

In the Maaliki Math-hab, there are two *technical* views regarding dog meat: *Hurmat* (i.e. *Haraam*) and *Karaahat* (i.e. *Makrooh*). As far as practical **Prohibition** is concerned, there is **NO** difference whatsoever. Hence the Maaliki Fuqaha state explicitly that no one in the Maaliki Math-hab has narrated *Ibaahat* (*Permissibility*). The *Karaahat* designation is in relation to the *Haraam* classification. These are technicalities which do not cancel the PROHIBITION of eating dog meat. Dog meat is practically HARAAM.

Thus, dog meat is Haraam according to the Maaliki Math-hab and according to all Math-habs. Mufti Taqi Sahib has disgorged monstrous mullock which the miscreant molvi has lapped up and disgustingly regurgitated thereby slandering Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh) and casting Muslims into confusion.

Furthermore, Mufti Taqi had made this *ghutha* claim in a self-vindictive spirit. He was attempting to exculpate himself from the many charges made against him. He has specifically selected the dog meat issue believing it to be halaal in the Maaliki Math-hab, because he was criticized for his *baatil* endeavour to halaalize riba in terms of the Maalki Math-hab. By some egregious blunder he has inferred dog meat to be halaal in terms of the Maaliki Math-hab, hence he felt confident to proffer his misconception as 'sound' basis for singing his monotonous song of *respecting different opinions*.

The Majlis had refuted Mufti Taqi's claim of permissibility of charging penalty fees for late payment. He had baselessly attempted to halaalize the penalty riba by *baatil* and stupid interpretation of some Maaliki mas'alah. The detailed discussion is in our two booklets, *Penalty of Default* and *Penalty on Late Payment is Interest*. These booklets are available on our website.

Mufti Taqi had deceptively proffered an abortive attempt to legalize interest on late payment by resorting to ingenious interpretation of a mas'alah in the Maaliki Math-hab. We had criticized his abortive and utterly baseless attempt. Furthermore, we had criticized him on other haraam issues as well. In view of our strident criticism for his deviances, he cherishes an inveterate *bughz* for the Mujlisul Ulama. His emotional state has caused a disequilibrium in his intellectual functioning, hence he deemed it intelligent to come all the way from Pakistan to sing his monotonous song of '*ikhtilaaf*' in South Africa. He should reflect and meditate on the Qur'aanic Aayat:

“In fact, man has baseerat (insight and full awareness) of his Nafs even if he presents excuses (to justify his baatil conduct).”
(Surah Al-Qiyaamah)

Instead of offering valid Islamic Naseehat for the ills, diseases and corruption in which the

community is sinking, he only piped his song of *ikhtilaaf*. The extremely small minority who criticizes him does not accept his stupid pontification, viz, his song of *ikhtlaaf*. We shall continue to nail him and all the slaves of shaitaani and nafsaaani deviance. As far as the masses are concerned, his monotonous song did not benefit them. The vast majority of molvis in the countries are his cronies, hence his self-vindictive acquittal was of no benefit for anyone. He was supposed to offer naseehat regarding the corruption in the Ummah and the resultant Athaab of Allah Azza Wa Jal. But he is lost.

Perhaps SANHA is seeking a licence for halaalizing dog meat, hence Taqi Sahib has set the process in motion with his chicanery - the fraudulent claim of dog meat being halaal in the Maaliki Math-hab.

His malice for those who criticize his baatil has induced him to cite the Maaliki Math-hab, albeit baselessly and slanderously, in an attempt to

score some points. But he has made a terrible blunder with the dog meat issue. Any narration suggesting that dog meat is halaal according to Imaam Maalik (Rahmatullah alayh) is a false fabrication and a massive slander.

If dog meat was indeed halaal according to the Maaliki Math-hab, the Muqallideen of the other Math-habs would not criticize Imaam Maalik or the Maalik Fuqaha. There are innumerable conflicts the Ahnaaf have with all Math-habs, but never can anyone cite any criticism of the Math-habs by The Majlis. According to the three Math-habs all sea animals are halaal. However, according to the Hanafi Math-hab, all sea animals besides fish are Haraam. Yet, never can anyone show that we had criticized the other Math-habs for their diametrically opposite view.

It is our belief that all Four Math-habs are on the Haqq since all of them base their masaa-il on the Qur'aan and Sunnah. Thus, Mufti Taqi's comment:

“In today's time if any person says it's permissible to eat dog meat, what will happen to him? You can well imagine!”, is stupid wishful thinking being the effect of intellectual bankruptcy and spiritual fossilization.

Nothing will happen to anyone who claims a valid view of another Math-hab. If dog meat was indeed ‘halaal’ according to the Maaliki Math-hab, we would bypass it with silent respect just as we bypass the view of the other Math-habs which proclaim sea animals to be halaal.

DOGS AND HOT DOGS ARE FOR THE KUFFAAR!

والمعتمد أن الكلب الإنسي مكروه، وقيل حرام، لم يرد قول
بإباحته (الشرح الكبير للدردير)

الذي حصله الخطاب في الكلب قولان: الحرمة، والكراهة،
وصحح ابن عبد البر التحريم، قال الخطاب: ولم أر في المذهب
من نقل إباحة أكل الكلاب (حاشية الدسوقي)

ولا بأس ببيع العروض كلها قبل قبضها من بائعها وغيره بمثل رأس ماله، ولا يجوز بيعها قبض قبضها من بائعها بأكثر من ثمنها. ويجوز بيعها من غيره بأكثر من ثمنها أو أقل منه (التفريع في فقه الامام مالك)

ونحن نقصر النهي على الأطعمة المكيكة والموزونة، فإننا لا نجيز بيعها قبل قبضها، ونجيز بيع العروض قبل قبضها
(شرح التلقين)

وجاز البيع قبل القبض، قال الخرشي: يعني أنه يجوز بيع كل شيء قبل قبضه لخبر: من ابتاع طعاما فلا يبعه حتى يستوفيه. ففهموه أن غير الطعام يجوز بيعه قبل قبضه (لوامع الدرر)

ولا خلاف عن مالك وأصحابه في غير المأكول والمشروب، ونحو الثياب وسائر العروض، العقار وغيره، أنه يجوز بيعها قبل قبضها، ممن اشترى منه ومن غيره، وكذلك إذا أسلف فيها (التمهيد)

قال المواق نقل ابن عرفة في التأديب أنه يكون بالوعيد والتفريع لا بالشم فإن لم يفد
القول انتقل إلى الضرب بالسوط من واحد إلى ثلاثة ضرب إيلام فقط
(مواهب الجليل)

التأديب بالقيود والضرب الشديد (شرح الخرشبي)

ونص مالك على أن التأديب بقدر ما يرى القاضي، وكذلك نص مالك على أنه يجلد ولم
يذكر عددا

ابن الماجشون: بالسوط

ابن كنانة: ويكشف عن ظهره

(التوضيح)